## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Project Name:** | City Greening & Biodiversity: Fann Street | |
| **Unique project identifier:** | 12332 | |

| | |
|---|---|
| **PM's overall risk rating:** | Medium |
| **Total estimated cost (exc risk):** | £ 341,000 |

| | |
|---|---|
| **CRP requested this gateway** | £ 25,000 |
| **Total CRP used to date** | £ - |

| | |
|---|---|
| **Average unmitigated risk score** | 7.1 |
| **Average mitigated risk score** | 5.2 |

| | |
|---|---|
| **Open Risks** | 8 |
| **Closed Risks** | 11 |

| Risk ID | Gateway | Category | Description of the Risk | Risk Impact Description | Likelihood Classification pre-mitigation | Impact Classification pre-mitigation | Risk score | Costed impact pre-mitigation (£) | Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N | Confidence in the estimation | Mitigating actions | Mitigation cost (£) | Likelihood Classification post-mitigation | Impact Classification post-mitigation | Costed impact post-mitigation (£) | Post-Mitigation risk score | CRP used to date | Use of CRP | Date raised | Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator | Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party) | Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to issues | Comment(s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| R1 | 5 | (10) Physical | Extended excavation works to minimise tree root damage | Changes to construction methods and programme | Likely | Serious | 8 | £20,000.00 | Y - for mitigation costs | B – Fairly Confident | To prevent the need for manual excavation the term contractor will apply the recommended use of machinery to remove hardstanding. 1.5 tonne digger positioned facing the existing trees with the back towards the carriageway. Working backwards, using a 450mm smooth-edged bucket, pulling backwards away from the trees. These works will be supervised by an arborist who will ensure methods are followed and impacts to tree roots are minimised. | £15,000.00 | Possible | Serious | £0.00 | 6 | £0.00 | Includes but not limited to the following: Extended day works fees for hand digging excavations | 02/10/2025 | Ben Bishop | Ian Hughes | | |
| R3 | 5 | (10) Physical | Delays to the procurement of materials | Impact to programme and costs | Possible | Serious | 6 | £10,000.00 | Y - for mitigation costs | B – Fairly Confident | Discuss the procurement route with the Term Contractor and Highways team to ensure orders are placed on time and avoid unnecessary delays. Delays in procurement may expose the project to cost inflation, increasing material and labour costs, which impact the scope, budget and schedule. | £3,000.00 | Likely | Minor | £0.00 | 4 | £0.00 | Includes but not limited to the following: Agreed a methodology and programme revision with Highways team to ensure some storage of some quantities of standard materials to reduce burden of RPI increases | 02/10/2025 | Ben Bishop | Ian Hughes | | |
| R6 | 2 | (4) Contractual/Partnership | Cost escalation as a result of inflation or other factors | Increase in total estimated project cost impacting project scope | Likely | Serious | 8 | £10,000.00 | Y - for mitigation costs | B – Fairly Confident | A costed risk provision has been included in the CRP to cover this risk. If the project is delayed, it could increase cost including the annual RPI uplift on the schedule of rates. | £4,000.00 | Possible | Serious | £0.00 | 6 | £0.00 | Includes but not limited to the following: Cover contingency costs of extended programme. Inflated materials costs. Staff and contractor costs | 03/10/2025 | Ben Bishop | Ian Hughes | | |
| R17 | 4 | (3) Reputation | Planting delay | Construction programme is delayed and goes beyond expected window leading to delay in final planting. | Possible | Major | 12 | £5,000.00 | Y - for mitigation costs | A – Very Confident | City Garden's team will plant up a temporary bedding display until Autumn 2026 | £3,000.00 | Possible | Minor | £0.00 | 3 | £0.00 | | | Ben Bishop | Ian Hughes | | |